# EXHIBIT 5

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
2	
3	ANDREW COLBORN,
4	Plaintiff,
5	-vs- CIVIL ACTION NO. 19-CV-0484-BHL
	NETFLIX, INC., ET AL., VOLUME I
6	Defendants.
7	
8	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
9	ANDREW L. COLBORN
10	
11	7.7.01.0000
12	DATE: July 21, 2022
13	TIME: 9:23 a.m 5:22 p.m.
14	LOCATION: Godfrey & Kahn, S.C. 833 East Michigan Street
15	Suite 1800 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY: Paula Huettenrauch, RMR, CRR
23	365Reporting, LLC
24	VIDEOGRAPHER:
	Jon Hansen, CLVS Video Concepts
25	608.408.7411

1 APPEARANCES 2. 3 LAW FIRM OF CONWAY, OLEJNICZAK & JERRY, S.C., BY R. GEORGE BURNETT, ATTORNEY AT LAW 231 South Adams Street 4 Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301 5 Gb@lcojlaw.com appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff. 6 7 ROCKSTEAD LAW, LLC, BY APRIL ROCKSTEAD BARKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW 525 North Lincoln Avenue 8 Beaver Dam, Wisconsin 53916 9 aprilrbarker@rocksteadlaw.com appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff. 10 11 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, BY LEITA WALKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW 12 2000 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 13 55402 walkerl@ballardspahr.com 14 appeared on behalf of Netflix, Inc. 15 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, BY 16 ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO, ATTORNEY AT LAW 2000 IDS Center 17 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 18 salomaonascimentoi@ballardspahr.com appeared on behalf of Netflix, Inc. 19 20 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, by EMMY S. PARSONS, ATTORNEY AT LAW 21 1909 K Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006-1157 22 parsonse@ballardspahr.com appeared via Zoom videoconference on 23 behalf of Netflix, Inc. 2.4 25

```
1
       BALLARD SPAHR LLP, by
       MATTHEW E. KELLEY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 2.
       1909 K Street NW, Suite 1200
       Washington, DC 20006-1157
 3
       kelleym@ballardspahr.com
       appeared via Zoom videoconference on
       behalf of Netflix, Inc.
 4
 5
       JASSY VICK CAROLAN LLP, by
 6
       KEVIN L. VICK, ATTORNEY AT LAW
       355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
       Los Angeles, California 90071
 7
       kvick@jassyvick.com
       appeared on behalf of Chrome Media LLC,
 8
       Laura Ricciardi, and Moira Demos.
 9
10
       JASSY VICK CAROLAN LLP, by
       MEGHAN E. FENZEL, ATTORNEY AT LAW
11
       355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
       Los Angeles, California 90071
12
       mfenzel@jassyvick.com
       appeared via Zoom videoconference on
       behalf of Chrome Media LLC, Laura Ricciardi, and
13
       Moira Demos.
14
       * * *
15
16
       ALSO PRESENT:
17
       Debra Bursik, Paralegal
18
       Moira Demos, Defendant
19
       Laura Ricciardi, Defendant
20
       Melinda LeMoine, Director, Litigation, Netflix, Inc.
21
22
2.3
2.4
25
```

1	MR. BURNETT: I'll withdraw the
2	objection. You can answer.
3	A I disagree with that statement.
4	Q On what basis? Let me let me ask you.
5	You've not watched the whole thing?
6	A Correct.
7	Q In fact, you haven't even watched the last
8	three episodes at all according to your stipulated
9	facts, correct?
10	A That is correct, yes.
11	Q So you have no idea in those last three
12	episodes whether it tells both sides of the stories,
13	raises questions, or encourages viewers to reach
14	their own conclusion? You just don't know, correct?
15	A I don't know any of the content of the last
16	three episodes, that's correct.
17	Q Can you point me to where in Making a
18	Murderer it contends that you planted evidence to
19	frame Avery for Teresa Halbach's murder?
20	A I believe there's quite a few examples in
21	the Complaint that were so I'm not an attorney.
22	Q I know.
23	A I hired attorneys to do the research to find
24	that evidence.
25	Q I'm just asking you yeah. And your

1	Q In fact, the best evidence of any testimony
2	at trial would be the trial transcript itself,
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And I think this goes both ways, but would
6	you agree that the best evidence of what Making a
7	Murderer says about you is the documentary itself or
8	a transcript of the documentary, correct?
9	A Well, I would surmise that there's probably
10	more to Making a Murderer than just the transcript of
11	it. The video probably would be very telling
12	Q Of course.
13	A inserting facial expressions and stuff.
14	Q You misunderstood my question. I'm just
15	asking, you would agree that the best evidence of
16	what Making a Murderer says and portrays is the
17	documentary itself?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And we should not attempt to reword or
20	characterize either the transcript or the
21	documentary, correct?
22	A What do you mean by characterize the
23	documentary?
24	Q Try to paraphrase it or summarize it or
25	characterize what it says. We should instead just

# 1 Steven Avery? I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 2. Α I'm sorry. 3 Q So I'll represent to you in the three 4 episodes you didn't watch --5 Α Yes. 0 -- the reading of the verdict is shown --6 7 Α Okay. -- and Steven Avery is walked out of the 8 9 courtroom in handcuffs to jail. That detracts from 10 any strong and definite statement that you planted 11 evidence to frame him, correct? 12 I don't know. Without watching it, I don't 13 I don't know how -- in what context it was 14 shown, so I don't know. 15 Q Do you have any intention of watching Making 16 a Murderer in its entirety? 17 Α No. 18 Q Okay. 19 I don't. Α 20 Despite litigating a federal lawsuit that 21 may go to trial, you don't plan to watch the 22 documentary that you've sued over? 2.3 Α It's ruined my life. I'm not going to pay 24 to watch it. 25 Well, that's not my question, and I'll move Q

such a thing! But a majority assumed he was
guiltywhy would the police have arrested him if he
wasn't involved?"

I think I skipped over a sentence about Nancy Grace, but otherwise, did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q And do you agree with this assessment of the local reaction to the murder of Teresa Halbach and the arrest of Steven Avery?

A I'll agree that there were some in the community that thought he was innocent; some thought he had done this again. I don't know if the majority was one way or the other. That's Mike's opinion.

(Exhibit 15-B marked for identification.)

Q Understood. So I'll now hand you

Exhibit 15-B, which is also from The Innocent Killer.

This is from a few pages later in the book, page 215.

And in the third paragraph down, Mr. Griesbach wrote,

"The Avery case was naturally the chief topic of

discussion at Warren's from the date of Teresa

Halbach's appearance" [sic] "until the end of the

trial. From Mike the window washer to the county

executive, everyone at Warren's had an opinion about

the case, and given what I do for a living, they

1 You would agree with Ms. Heinzen's 2 assessment, correct? 3 Α Mrs., I believe, and, you know, that might 4 be her interpretation of it, but yes, certainly Avery 5 had his supporters and not quite or anywhere near as 6 vocally. Law enforcement probably had a few 7 supporters as well. So I want to turn again to Exhibit 1 and 8 Q 9 those stipulations that we proposed. 10 Α Okay. 11 And specifically numbers 11, 13, and 14. 0 12 I'll read them out loud. Number 11 says, "Mr. 13 Colborn felt wronged by the frame-up theory put forth 14 by the defense at Mr. Avery's trial." 15 Number 13 says, "Mr. Colborn felt the 16 frame-up theory put forth by the defense at 17 Mr. Avery's trial harmed his reputation." And number --18 19 Hang on one second, okay? So you're reading Α 20 11, 12, and 13, because mine says 13 blank --21 Q Yes. 22 Α -- and 11. I know. If you could flip to the ones we 23 Q 24 proposed --25 Α Okay.

1	some documents to help me understand why you won't
2	agree to them, and we'll come back to them probably
3	at the end and I'll ask you again, just as I have
4	with some others.
5	A Okay.
6	Q So we're going to start by talking about
7	Ms. Schuler, and my first question is just when did
8	you last speak to her?
9	A I can't give you an exact date, Ms. Walker,
10	but months ago.
11	Q Okay. Have you talked to her since she was
12	deposed in this case?
13	A No.
14	Q So she hasn't told you about her deposition?
15	A She has not.
16	Q And you previously testified she's a friend
17	of yours, correct?
18	A She is.
19	Q And would it surprise you that you produced
20	more than 4,000 text messages with her in this case?
21	A I don't know how many text messages I've
22	shared with her.
23	Q But it doesn't surprise you to hear that
24	it's over 4,000?
25	A Do you have the 4,000 text messages?

1 I'll represent to you it was over 4,000. 2 You don't have to agree or disagree. 3 Α Okay. 4 Let me ask you just a few more questions to 5 get a sense of the nature of your friendship. 6 came to your retirement party, correct? 7 Α Yes. And you went to her son's wedding? 8 Q 9 Α I did. 10 Q And you've gone out to eat together? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And would you say you trust her? 13 Α I felt she was someone I could trust, yes. 14 Q And would you say that you have confided in 15 her? 16 Α Yes. 17 And have you trusted her to speak on your Q behalf? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And she is working, as we've discussed, on Q 21 this documentary called Convicting a Murderer, 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 We asked you to admit that Convicting a 25 Murderer is meant to serve as a rebuttal to Making a

25

the second.

Q

1	want to confirm that this Exhibit 23 is your second
2	interview for that film, correct?
3	A So nothing's dated again. I don't know
4	which what I said in my first interview, what I
5	said in my second interview. You know, I was never
6	given a transcript of Convicting a Murderer.
7	Q So I'll represent to you that Ms. Schuler
8	represented to us that you were interviewed twice and
9	that Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 23 are the transcripts for
10	the two separate interviews. And you don't have any
11	reason to think she was dishonest with us in any way
12	on that, correct?
13	A If she doesn't have the date straight, I
14	don't think she did it out of dishonesty, so no, I
15	don't.
16	Q All right. And you under you agree that
17	you sat for two recorded interviews with the
18	filmmakers?
19	A That I do agree to, yes.
20	Q Okay. And it's fine that you don't remember
21	the exact date. Do you know whether this Exhibit 8
22	or Exhibit 23 were first, which one came first?
23	A This does look like it would have come from

So

Thank you.

This is the second. Okay.

25

1 conviction?" And Brenda said, "Yes and no." And I 2 said, "Okay." And then she said, "Okay. He felt 3 defamed, or in my opinion, I would say he felt very 4 wronged after the trial, during the trial, okay?" And she said, "But felt redeemed with 5 said, "M-hm." 6 the verdict." 7 That's Ms. Schuler's testimony, and my 8 question for you is do you agree with her assessment? 9 Α No. 10 Q Which part do you disagree with? I didn't feel very wronged after the trial. 11 Α 12 Okay. Any other part you disagree with? Q 13 Α No. 14 (Exhibit 35-B marked for identification.) 15 Q Okay. I'm going to hand you 35-B. 16 Α Okay. 17 0 So I'm going to start on line 22 of page 141. 18 Do you see where I'm at? 19 Α Yes. 20 And so I'm following up on Ms. Schuler's 21 testimony, and I say, "So you just testified that he 22 felt very wronged during the trial, and then he felt 23 vindicated by the verdict and that he was very upset

by Making a Murderer; is that a fair summary of what

you said?" And she said, "Yes." And I asked, "The

1 was my thought when I was done. 2 Q Take a look at page 354, so flip a few 3 pages. 4 Α Same -- same exhibit? 5 Q Exhibit 8, tracking number 354. 6 Α Okay. 7 Q In the top --Yeah. 8 Α 9 -- top row, four lines in, "Shortly after I Q 10 retired." Do you see that? 11 Yes, I see it. Α 12 0 "Shortly after I retired, Attorney Jerome 13 Buting, who should be well aware of what slander and 14 defamation is, found out through an unscrupulous 15 reporter who has gone out of his way to make life miserable for me, partially on my own doing, that I 16 17 was retiring from law enforcement." Did I read that 18 correctly? 19 Α Yes. 20 Who was making your life miserable? 0 21 Α Do you want me to name the unscrupulous 22 reporter? 23 Q Yes. 24 Α John Ferak. 25 I couldn't tell based on the sentence Q Okay. 108

1	on an unsolved hit-and-run at the time, and I believe
2	that's what that article was about. Again, without
3	having the question, it's hard for me to determine,
4	but it's easy to research Mr. Ferak's work into
5	the trying to make it look like the sheriff's
6	department was somehow involved in covering up a
7	hit-and-run that's still unsolved. So that's
8	Q I understand. Thanks for that context. I
9	would love to get the questions, and we're trying to
10	get the transcript, the audio.
11	Would you take a look at number 25 of the
12	proposed stipulations?
13	A Is that 1 again?
14	Q I think it's this loose-leaf one right
15	here.
16	A Okay. Got it. Thank you.
17	Q And, again, it's the ones we proposed, not
18	the ones you signed.
19	A Okay.
20	Q And my question is given the testimony and
21	the document you've just looked at, will you agree to
22	number 25?
23	A So, Ms. Walker, even in this document, it
24	just says, "An article by Mr. Ferak prompted a lot of

death threats to be directed at Mr. Colborn."

2.

2.3

Q Okay. That's helpful. Thank you. How man
an article about an unsolved hit-and-run.
anything at all to do with the Avery case or if it's
statement that I don't know if the article has
I'll agree to number 25 with my former

# Q Okay. That's helpful. Thank you. How many death threats did you get because of any article that Mr. Ferak wrote?

A You know, I didn't separate them into categories of, Well, Mr. Ferak wrote this, so I got X amount of death threats. I just kind of cataloged them all. Several.

Q And you don't have really -- if I'm understanding you, you don't really have any way of knowing whether the death threats you received were because of Mr. Ferak or because of something else?

A Oh, yes, I do. Sometimes they'd mention

Making a Murderer specifically, telling me that

Making a Murderer prompted them to call and --

#### Q Any other way?

A Well, I didn't receive any death threats until after the release of Making a Murderer, and Making a Murderer gave John Ferak most of his material.

# Q Okay. Any other way?

A No, that's it.

1	Q So we've talked earlier today about a
2	statement
3	MR. BURNETT: That's getting pretty
4	close.
5	MS. WALKER: Yeah. Can you all still
6	hear? Okay. I'll keep going through it so we don't
7	waste time.
8	MR. BURNETT: How tall is this building?
9	MS. BARKER: We're on the 18th floor.
LO	Q I lost my train of thought. So we talked
11	earlier today
12	MR. BURNETT: It's a cheap tactic for
13	depositions.
14	MS. WALKER: You commissioned the Blue
15	Angels, is that it?
16	MR. BURNETT: Yeah.
L7	Q We talked earlier today about a statement
18	you made at the end of trial to the press. Do you
19	remember that?
20	A Yes, I do recall that.
21	Q And given the stipulation your counsel read
22	into the record, I assume you made that with the
23	permission of the sheriff's department, correct?
24	A We're talking the end of Steven Avery's
25	murder trial?

1	Q Correct.
2	A Yes. The sheriff's department instructed me
3	to do it.
4	Q And did you know that that statement was
5	recorded and included in Episode 8 of Making a
6	Murderer?
7	A Well, having not watched Episode 8, no, I
8	don't know that statement was made.
9	Q And I'll
10	A So I wouldn't know what context or anything.
11	Q I'll read the statement to you. You told
12	the press, I hope and pray that this verdict helps
13	put to rest any suspicions or loss of confidence that
14	this community may have felt towards our department
15	because I assure everyone that this agency has some
16	of the finest law enforcement officers in the country
17	in its employ.
18	I know you don't remember it word for word,
19	but does that sound like what you said?
20	A I certainly would have stood up for our
21	department, yes, and I certainly and still pray
22	for the Halbach family, so that sounds consistent.
23	Q Does it make you feel better to know that
24	that was included in Episode 8?
25	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	A I would have to watch Episode 8 and see in
2	which context how it was used. Like, was it used
3	to ridicule me? So then no, I wouldn't feel better
4	about how it was used.
5	Q But you don't plan to watch Episode 8,
6	correct?
7	A As I sit here right now today and talk with
8	you, no, I don't plan on watching Episode 8, but
9	certainly there's no reason I can't change my mind at
10	some point.
11	Q Okay.
12	MS. WALKER: Let's go to Exhibit 37.
13	(Exhibit 37-A marked for identification.)
14	A Do I have that one or no?
15	Q I'm going to give it to you.
16	A Oh, okay.
17	MS. WALKER: Sure. So Exhibit 37

MS. WALKER: Sure. So Exhibit 37 collectively is Mr. Colborn's responses to interrogatories in this case. I have marked the different responses and supplemental responses and signature pages as Exhibits 37-A, B, C, and D. It's a little confusing given the way things kind of came in.

Q But let's start with Exhibit 37-A, which, Mr. Colborn, I'll represent to you are the first

1 Α Like have I taken anything today? 2 0 Yeah. Do you have --3 Α I have acid reflux, so I took an antacid. Uh-huh. 4 Q 5 Α I have asthma, so I have to take an inhaler 6 every morning. I'm on a medication for anxiety. I 7 can't give you the name of it. Not because I'm 8 trying to withhold it, because I don't know, but you 9 have my records. I believe I took one of those this 10 morning. 11 Q Okay. 12 MS. WALKER: It's nearly 1:00. I think 13 this is a good place to break and have lunch and come 14 back. 15 MR. BURNETT: Sure. What time do you 16 want to resume? 17 Let's go off the record. MS. WALKER: 18 MR. BURNETT: Let's go off. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 20 at 12:54. 21 (Lunch recess held.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 2.3 record at 2:13. 24 (By Ms. Walker:) All right, Mr. Colborn. 25 have some wrap-up questions from items we were 136

1 discussing before the lunch break, and the first one 2 is would you agree with me that your integrity had 3 been questioned and your reputation harmed at the time of trial? 4 5 А Yes. 0 And you can't as you sit here today quantify 6 7 the reputational harm arising from trial and the 8 contemporaneous media coverage that came along with 9 the trial, can you? 10 MR. BURNETT: Objection, form. 11 I can say after the verdict, my reputation Α 12 and everything went back to how it was. 13 How do you know that? Q 14 Because after his conviction, the negative Α 15 press stopped, people began being more favorable 16 about the events of the trial, the unfolding of the 17 trial, the conviction. It was just a general 18 atmosphere that was more supportive. 19 So the publicity disappeared, but the 0 20 articles that were written remained out there, 21 correct? 22 That were written during the trial? Α 23 Q Yes. 24 I don't know when they archive those, but I

don't recall a blitzkrieg of negative press like

1	after the release of Making a Murderer after Steven
2	Avery's conviction.
3	Q You experienced sadness and anger and
4	frustration around the time of the trial, correct?
5	A I don't know per se sadness, but the other
6	two, yes.
7	Q Okay. Anxiety?
8	A I don't really recall anxiety.
9	Q What about distress at the time of trial?
10	A I can't differentiate between the two.
11	Q You can't differentiate between?
12	A Anxiety and distress. It seems to be one
13	and the same. I can't really say I was distressed;
14	more angry.
15	Q All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit 38,
16	which I will hand to you.
17	(Exhibit 38 marked for identification.)
18	A Okay. Thank you.
19	Q And I'll just ask you to confirm that this
20	is a text message exchange between you and Ms. Schuler,
21	and the date at the top there is July 2nd, 2017. Do
22	you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And that was 18 months, approximately,
25	before Making a Murderer was released, correct?

1 are not going to have a Perry Mason moment here." 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 Α Yes. 4 So Mr. Strang here is saying just because I 5 didn't ask Mr. Colborn directly did you plant 6 evidence doesn't mean we're abandoning the planted 7 evidence theory. Is that your understanding of what 8 I just read to you? 9 Α No, not really. 10 Q Okay. We'll let the transcript speak for 11 itself, and we'll move on. 12 Α Okay. 13 Q Did you ever sue Dean Strang? 14 Α No. 15 Q Why not? 16 MR. BURNETT: Um... 17 I don't want to know about conversations Q with your attorney. So if there's any reason other 18 19 than your attorney told you not to, I'd like to know 20 why you decided not to sue Dean Strang. 21 Α There is no other reason than what you just 22 stated. 23 Q Do you understand that you can't sue people 24 for defamatory things they say about you in court? 25 And I'm not asking for what your attorneys have told

1	you. I'm just asking for your personal
2	understanding.
3	A Yes, I was made aware of that.
4	Q So it's possible, in your view, that Dean
5	Strang and Jerry Buting defamed you in court and
6	that's why you didn't sue them?
7	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form. I assume
8	it's subject to the same limitations previously
9	articulated
10	MS. WALKER: Yes.
11	MR. BURNETT: to exclude
12	conversations with counsel?
13	Q Is that why you didn't sue them, because
14	even though you believed they defamed you, you can't
15	hold them accountable for things they say about you
16	in court?
17	MR. BURNETT: Same objection and
18	instruction.
19	A Since the only person I talked about that
20	with was an attorney, I guess I'm going to have to
21	decline to answer that.
22	Q Well, Mr. Buting went on to write a book
23	about the case, correct?
24	A He did.
25	Q And you believe that book hurt your

1	reputation, correct?
2	A I don't know. I never read the book.
3	Q You have no sense at all about whether it
4	hurt your reputation?
5	A I can surmise that it probably, yes, wasn't
6	complimentary.
7	Q Well, you could
8	A But I didn't read it.
9	Q And you could have sued Jerry Buting for
10	things he said out of court in a book, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And why didn't you?
13	MR. BURNETT: The same instruction.
14	A The only person I discussed that with,
15	Ms. Walker, was an attorney.
16	(Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)
17	Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
18	Exhibit 43. This is an email from you to
19	Mr. Griesbach of January 8th, 2019. Do you see that?
20	A I do see that, that it's from Mike Griesbach
21	to me, but I'm not exactly sure why it's like,
22	doesn't have any conversation between me and Mike
23	Griesbach. It appears to be an email between Mike
24	Griesbach and Brenda Schuler.
25	Q Well, that's exactly right, actually, and I

can give you some context here. So the bottom emails 1 2 are between Mr. Griesbach and Ms. Schuler. 3 Α Okay. 4 And then I don't know what's behind that 5 redacted bar. 6 Α Okay. But I think that's where Mr. Griesbach spoke 7 to you directly and your counsel redacted it on the 8 9 theory that that's privileged information. But I 10 want to ask you about --11 Α Okay. 12 -- what Mr. Griesbach told Brenda. 0 said, "I wish we could sue his slandering ass too." 13 14 Do you see that? 15 Α Yes. 16 And then Mr. Griesbach said, "We've talked 0 17 about it... Andy, me, and another lawyer who was 18 almost on board a month ago. I'd love to sue Buting, 19 but it's a very bad idea strategically, even though 20 it would defeat federal jurisdiction. Can't further 21 explain now." Did I read that correctly? 22 Α Yes. 23 And so here's Michael Griesbach telling someone who is not his client about conversations he 24

had with you, correct?

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q But unless Mr. Griesbach was in the room
3	with you or any of us sitting here today were in the
4	room with you, none of us can know with 100 percent
5	certainty, correct?
6	A I would think that I drove that point home
7	in the trial, and based on the subsequent conviction,
8	I believe the jury was convinced of it.
9	Q We would have to trust you, correct,
10	Mr. Colborn?
11	A Yes, you would have to trust that I was
12	telling the truth under oath.
13	Q And the jury found for the prosecution and
14	convicted Mr. Avery, correct?
15	A Yes, they did.
16	Q And the jury's findings were included in
17	Making a Murderer, correct?
18	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
19	Q Do you know?
20	A I have not watched a clip of or any of
21	Making a Murderer when the jury verdict is read or
22	so I can't answer you positively. I don't know what
23	was included. I don't know what episode that was in.
24	Q You have no reason to dispute that it was

included, correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | I'm not alleging that.

Q Okay. And you have no reason to believe that anyone from Netflix attended any portion of any proceeding against Mr. Avery, correct?

A I don't know that.

Q I'm asking you only based on your personal knowledge, you don't have any reason --

A No.

0 -- to believe that?

A No, I do not.

Q So I'll take you back to Exhibit 1 that you signed this morning, and if you could flip to Exhibit A, which is the stipulations we proposed.

A One sec. I've got to find that. Okay.

Q And flip to Exhibit A, which is the initial stipulations we proposed.

A Okay.

Q And I want to point you to the first seven -- sorry, the first six. You declined to admit these, and my question for you is as you sit here today in your personal capacity, knowing that you rely on your lawyers to process all the evidence, but personally, let me ask you about number 1. Are you personally aware of any evidence that any Netflix employee attended any portion of any proceeding

2.

# involving Steven Avery?

- A I personally do not know, correct.
- Q Number 2, do you have any personal knowledge or are you personally aware of any evidence that any Netflix employee has ever been to Manitowoc County, Wisconsin?

A During '16, '17 we had an abundance of protests out in front of our courthouse with people screaming how corrupt we were and how they should be freed, and I thought Netflix was involved in that, but I don't have any personal knowledge or evidence. Like, no one ever brought someone to me and said, "This person works for Netflix."

Q Are you personally aware of any evidence that any Netflix employee ever spoke to anyone who appears in Making a Murderer?

A I personally have no knowledge. I don't know if they did or they didn't.

Q Are you personally aware of any evidence that any Netflix employee ever received or read any transcript from any proceeding against Mr. Avery or involving Mr. Avery?

A Number 4, I believe I did see documents that did say that Netflix employees had a few transcripts of the criminal trial of Mr. Avery.

Α

T	Q Do you remember anything about those
2	documents?
3	A No, I don't.
4	MS. WALKER: So we would just ask on the
5	record that to the extent those documents exist and
6	can be identified, that plaintiff produce them to us.
7	Q Number 5 here, do you personally have any
8	are you personally aware of any evidence that any
9	Netflix employee ever received or watched any raw
10	footage of any proceeding involving Mr. Avery?
11	A I believe my attorneys do have evidence that
12	Netflix employees did view both civil and criminal
13	or, yes, civil and criminal video of me testifying
14	both in deposition and in his criminal trial for the
15	murder of Teresa Halbach.
16	Q Okay. Do you understand that to be raw
17	footage or footage that was produced by the
18	filmmakers and then provided to Netflix or do you not
19	know?
20	A I don't know.
21	Q Number 6, are you personally aware of any
22	evidence that any Netflix employee ever received or
23	watched any other raw footage used by the filmmakers
24	in creating Making a Murderer?

I personally don't know what they used,

1 so -- or watched, no.

- Q So going back to Exhibit 2, the Second

  Amended Complaint, and referring you to paragraph 46.
- A Okay.
  - Q Actually, it will be on the -- on page 16, the last --
- A Okay.
  - Q -- of the bullet points, and you say that among the things omitted from Making a Murderer, in the last bullet here, was that Avery had a history of extreme violence and sexual aggression against women, including beating, strangulation, death threats, attempted abduction at gunpoint, and allegations of rape. Did I read that correctly?
- 15 A Yes.
  - Q All right. So let's take each of those in that bullet one at a time. Do you know if there was evidence presented at trial that Avery ever beat a woman?
  - A I don't -- I don't know because I wasn't allowed to attend the trial other than the day I testified, so I don't know.
  - Q So if I told you that the judge excluded that evidence, you would have no reason to dispute me --

1 Α No. -- because you weren't there? 2 Correct. 3 Α 4 Okay. And so I take it you also don't know 5 if evidence came in at trial that Avery ever 6 strangled a woman? 7 Do not know. And you don't know if evidence came in at 8 9 trial that Avery ever made a death threat against a woman? 10 11 Again, not during the time I was testifying Α 12 did that come up. 13 You don't know if that evidence was Q 14 allowed --15 I don't know. 16 -- or not? And you don't know if the judge 17 allowed evidence to be presented to the jury that 18 Avery attempted to abduct a woman at gunpoint? You 19 don't know? 20 I didn't see her testimony, but I believe 21 I'm aware that she did testify at his criminal trial, 22 the victim. 23 MS. WALKER: Let's go ahead and mark 24 Exhibit 57. (Exhibit 57 marked for identification.) 25

Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
Exhibit 57, and as you can see from the front page
here, this is a cover letter and then an order on the
State's Motion to Allow the Introduction of Nine
Items of Other Acts Evidence. Do you see that?

- A What page are we on?
- Q The very first page --
- A Okay.
  - Q -- of Exhibit 57.
- A Yes, I see that.
- Q Okay. And we can take some time to read through this whole thing, but I can represent to you that the -- in this order, the Court excluded evidence regarding Avery's conviction related to his having followed Sandy Morris in a vehicle, striking her with his vehicle and forcing her off the roadway and then pointing a loaded rifle at her and ordering her to get out. That evidence was excluded in this order. You don't have any reason to dispute that, correct?
- 21 A No.
  - Q Okay. And you have no way of knowing because you weren't there if the judge permitted evidence to be presented to the jury that Avery had raped a woman, correct?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And so if Making a Murderer didn't include
3	that evidence, that's consistent with what happened
4	at trial as far as you know, correct?
5	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
6	A Could you repeat? Sorry.
7	Q Yeah. If these things weren't included at
8	trial
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q and if Making a Murderer didn't include
11	them, then Making a Murderer was consistent with what
12	happened at trial, correct?
13	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
14	A I'm not going to agree that I
15	Q Okay.
16	A believe that Making a Murderer was
17	consistent with what happened at trial.
18	Q Well, you can I think we can agree that
19	if we want to know what evidence was excluded, we can
20	look at this Exhibit 57, correct? That's the judge's
21	order?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. So we talked about the exclusion from
24	the trial of the Sandy Morris incident, and I
25	actually want to play a clip for you now from Making

1 a Murderer. This will be from Episode 1, which we'll 2 mark in its entirety as Exhibit 58, and then the clip 3 we're about to play we'll mark as Exhibit 58-A. 4 (Exhibits 58 and 58-A marked for 5 identification.) (Video playing.) 6 7 0 Had you ever seen that clip from Making a Murderer? 8 9 Α No. 10 Q Okay. So if you look back at Exhibit 57, I 11 can point you now directly to page 10, onto page 11, 12 where the Court excluded acts of recklessly endangering the safety of Sandy Morris. And while 13 14 you look for that, I'll just ask you, isn't it true, 15 Mr. Colborn, that even though the judge did not permit the jury to hear that evidence, Making a 16 17 Murderer included it? 18 MR. BURNETT: Objection, form. 19 Yes. A portion of his inter -- a portion of Α 20 his interview with Detective Conrad and a very small 21 portion of her testimony was included in the clip you 22 showed me, yes. 23 And so in that sense at least, Making a Q 24 Murderer painted a less flattering picture of Steven

Avery than the jury was permitted to hear, correct?

1	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
2	A It would appear to me, based on the reaction
3	by people around the globe
4	Q Well, I'm going to move to strike, and I'd
5	just ask that you answer my question, that this is a
6	very unflattering thing to publicize about Steven
7	Avery, not even the jury got to hear it because it
8	was so prejudicial according to the judge, but the
9	filmmakers put it in the documentary, correct?
10	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
11	A Yes, it was in the clip you just showed me.
12	Q You also complained in the Second Amended
13	Complaint that Making a Murderer portrayed an
14	incident involving animal abuse as an accident and at
15	worst a childhood prank. Do you remember that
16	allegation?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. But you acknowledge that this story
19	about the animal abuse was omitted from Avery's
20	trial, correct?
21	A I don't know if it came up in his trial or
22	not.
23	Q Okay. Let me point you to Exhibit 57 again
24	and specifically page 7. There's a subhead, 1982 Act
25	of Criminal Cruelty Involving the Killing of a Cat.

1	Do you see that?
2	MR. BURNETT: What page are we on?
3	THE WITNESS: 7.
4	MS. WALKER: Page 7.
5	Q And if you read to the end of that Section 3
6	in the Court's order, the last sentence is that "The
7	offered evidence fails all three parts of the
8	Sullivan test and is not admissible." Do you see
9	that?
10	A What page is it where it mentions the
11	Sullivan test?
12	Q On page 10 at the top.
13	A Oh, 10. Okay. Are they talking about the
14	animal cruelty there, because it's shifted to
15	something else by then, but
16	Q So the animal cruelty section begins on
17	page 7
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q and it goes through page 8, 9, and
20	concludes at the top of page 10.
21	A All right. I see the area you're talking
22	about.
23	Q So that animal cruelty evidence was excluded
24	from trial, correct?
25	A It looks like it.
	191

1	Q Did you know that Making a Murderer included
2	that story and showed it to viewers?
3	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
4	A No, I didn't.
5	Q Okay. Let's play that clip.
6	(Exhibit 58-B marked for identification.)
7	(Video playing.)
8	Q Had you ever seen that clip there?
9	A I have not.
LO	Q So based on this clip and the one of Sandy
L1	Morris, you would agree with me that viewers of
L2	Making a Murderer got a more complete picture of
13	Mr. Avery's criminal history than the jurors did,
L <b>4</b>	correct?
15	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
16	A I would agree that a watered-down version of
17	his acts were portrayed in Making a Murderer while
18	they weren't allowed in court.
19	Q So at least the viewers of the documentary
20	heard about him attacking a woman and burning a cat,
21	correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q The jury didn't get to hear about that, did
24	they?
25	A No.

1 MS. BARKER: Excuse me, Counsel. Is 2. that clip 58-B? 3 MS. WALKER: Yes. Thank you. 4 0 So even though you've not watched Making a 5 Murderer, I want to make sure that you understand the 6 full scope of what it covers. Do you understand that 7 it documents the wrongful conviction for the Penny 8 Beerntsen rape? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 And do you understand that it documents 11 Steven Avery's exoneration? 12 Α I haven't watched an episode that -- in its 13 entirety, so I can't say how it's portrayed, but yes, 14 I understand that that's included in there. 15 Q And then you understand it documents the 16 investigation of Teresa Halbach's murder? 17 Α Yes. And Mr. Avery's trial for that murder? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. 20 And did you know it documents Mr. Dassey's 0 21 trial and conviction as well? 22 I don't know that personally, but --Α 23 Q Is this the first you're ever hearing that? 24 Α That Mr. Dassey's in there? 25 You knew he was featured in the documentary? Q

1	Q Okay. And you don't think their
2	perspective, their pro law enforcement world view,
3	keeps them from making a fair and objective
4	documentary I take it?
5	A No, I don't.
6	Q You're very pro law enforcement?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Pro military?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Conservative?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you have a bias in that you are
13	100 percent convinced that Avery is guilty, correct?
14	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
15	A I don't have a bias that way. He was
16	convicted by a jury of his peers.
17	Q Well, he was
18	A So I believe in that verdict, yes.
19	Q Okay. He was also convicted of rape,
20	correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And that jury verdict was flat-out wrong,
23	correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q So juries can get it wrong, correct?
	236

1	A Certainly.
2	Q And even if you lose this case, you're going
3	to believe that Mr. Avery killed Teresa Halbach,
4	correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And you believe Brendan Dassey was
7	involved in that crime, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Ms. Schuler told us at her deposition that
10	the documentary she's making is going to be, quote,
11	very anti-Steven Avery, end quote. Did you know that
12	that is her goal and her perspective?
13	A No, I didn't know that.
14	Q Do you think there's any problem with making
15	a documentary that's very pro very anti-Steven
16	Avery?
17	A If she has factual information to back that
18	up, I don't have an issue with it.
19	Q So as long as she's factual and accurate,
20	making a very slant
21	A And truthful.
22	Q and truthful, making a very slanted
23	anti-Avery documentary is just fine?
24	A I don't know
25	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 once or is it every single time?

## Q Did what happen once?

A This dramatic or suspenseful or cliffhanger or music. Like once or twice, probably not grounds for a lawsuit. Every single time one single person appears, ah, that might be different.

- Q Okay. But it depends on context?
- A Yes.
  - Q And we should view -- we should view the documentary in its entirety; is that -- do you agree with that?
- MR. BURNETT: Objection, form, foundation, legal conclusion.
- 14 Q Do you agree with that?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Episodes 1 through 10, correct?
- 17 A Uh-huh.
  - Q Let's go to Exhibit 35-H. And here I was asking -- this is page 231 of Schuler's deposition, and here I was asking her about some of the fallout from Making a Murderer and the potential fallout from -- for Convicting a Murderer. And at line 22 I said to her, "But you'd agree with me that what the crazy people out in the world decide to do shouldn't stop filmmakers from making content." There was an

1	CERTIFICATION PAGE
2	
3	STATE OF WISCONSIN )
4	MILWAUKEE COUNTY )
5	
6	I, PAULA M. HUETTENRAUCH, RMR, CRR, Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify:
7	
8	That prior to being examined, the deponent named in the foregoing deposition, ANDREW L. COLBORN, was by me duly sworn to testify
9	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.
10	That said deposition was taken before
11	me at the time, date, and place set forth; and I hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and
12 13	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction and supervision.
14	I further certify that I am neither
15	counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof; and that I have no contract with the parties, attorneys,
16	or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect
17	impartiality, or that requires me to provide any service not made available to all parties to the
18	action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
20	subscribed my name this 28th day of July, 2022.
21	No. 10 1 1 1 1 1 1
22	Paula M. Huettenrauch, RMR, CRR
23	Notary Public - State of Wisconsin
24	My Commission Expires 8/18/2023
25	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
2	ANDREW COLBORN,
3	Plaintiff,
4	
5	
6	NETFLIX, INC., ET AL., VOLUME II
7	Defendants.
8	CONTINUED VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
9	ANDREW L. COLBORN
10	
11	DATE: July 22, 2022
12	TIME: 9:02 a.m 4:40 p.m.
13	LOCATION: Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.
14	833 East Michigan Street Suite 1800
15	Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DEDODEED DV.
22	REPORTED BY: Paula Huettenrauch, RMR, CRR
23	365Reporting, LLC
24	VIDEOGRAPHER: Jon Hansen, CLVS
25	Video Concepts 608.408.7411
	252

1 APPEARANCES 2. 3 LAW FIRM OF CONWAY, OLEJNICZAK & JERRY, S.C., BY R. GEORGE BURNETT, ATTORNEY AT LAW 231 South Adams Street 4 Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301 5 Gb@lcojlaw.com appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff. 6 7 ROCKSTEAD LAW, LLC, BY APRIL ROCKSTEAD BARKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW 8 525 North Lincoln Avenue Beaver Dam, Wisconsin 53916 9 aprilrbarker@rocksteadlaw.com appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff. 10 11 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, BY LEITA WALKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW 12 2000 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street 13 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 walkerl@ballardspahr.com 14 appeared on behalf of Netflix, Inc. 15 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, BY 16 ISABELLA SALOMAO NASCIMENTO, ATTORNEY AT LAW 2000 IDS Center 17 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 18 salomaonascimentoi@ballardspahr.com appeared on behalf of Netflix, Inc. 19 20 BALLARD SPAHR LLP, by EMMY S. PARSONS, ATTORNEY AT LAW 21 1909 K Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006-1157 22 parsonse@ballardspahr.com appeared via Zoom videoconference on 23 behalf of Netflix, Inc. 2.4 25

```
1
       BALLARD SPAHR LLP, by
       MATTHEW E. KELLEY, ATTORNEY AT LAW
 2.
       1909 K Street NW, Suite 1200
       Washington, DC 20006-1157
 3
       kelleym@ballardspahr.com
       appeared via Zoom videoconference on
       behalf of Netflix, Inc.
 4
 5
       JASSY VICK CAROLAN LLP, by
 6
       KEVIN L. VICK, ATTORNEY AT LAW
       355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
       Los Angeles, California 90071
 7
       kvick@jassyvick.com
 8
       appeared on behalf of Chrome Media LLC,
       Laura Ricciardi, and Moira Demos.
 9
10
       JASSY VICK CAROLAN LLP, by
       MEGHAN E. FENZEL, ATTORNEY AT LAW
11
       355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
       Los Angeles, California 90071
12
       mfenzel@jassyvick.com
       appeared via Zoom videoconference on
       behalf of Chrome Media LLC, Laura Ricciardi, and
13
       Moira Demos.
14
       * * *
15
16
       ALSO PRESENT:
17
       Debra Bursik, Paralegal
18
       Moira Demos, Defendant
19
       Laura Ricciardi, Defendant
20
       Melinda LeMoine, Director, Litigation, Netflix, Inc.
21
22
2.3
2.4
25
                                                             254
```

changed.

1 us, quote, But there wasn't anything in the 2 documentary that I believed. Again, I didn't watch 3 it. To the extent Avery's accusations made it into 4 the documentary, those accusations didn't change my 5 opinion of Andy. That's not what caused our divorce, 6 end quote. Do you dispute that? 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Which part? 9 I believe I've already stipulated to this Α 10 whole marriage thing, so --11 Correct. Should we rely on your Q 12 stipulation? 13 А Yes. 14 Anything else you dispute in that Q Okay. 15 statement? 16 No, nothing beyond that. Α 17 Your ex-wife told us under penalty of perjury, quote, This lawsuit also had an impact on 18 19 our marriage and exacerbated Andy's fixation. 20 just couldn't let go of Making a Murderer and Avery's 21 accusations that he planted evidence to frame Avery 22 for the murder of Teresa Halbach, end quote. Do you 23 dispute what your ex-wife said there? 24 А That's one of the examples of how I've

I can't let go of it.

1 Okay. Finally, she told us, quote, I wanted 2 I didn't want to let Avery or Making a 3 Murderer ruin our lives. Andy couldn't let it go 4 though. The lawsuit only did more damage to us, end 5 quote. 6 Do you dispute that? 7 Α No. 8 Q Okay. So now we're going to go back to 9 Exhibit 1 and look at your stipulation number 45. 10 And you can set the list of names to the side. 11 Α Okay. So 45 says, "Some members of my law 12 0 13 enforcement community supported me after the release 14 of Making a Murderer but some did not," and you 15 requested before signing it that we add "some did 16 not." You recall that? 17 Α Correct. And so I'd like to know the names of people 18 Q 19 within the law enforcement community who did not 20 support you. 21 Α Well, I can't give you those names because I 22 don't know the -- the author of the article, but I 23 can give you the department. 24 Q Sure.

Scotland Yard.

Α

1	Q I don't need it. Mr. Colborn, would you
2	agree that almost by definition the people who left
3	those voicemails for you were unreasonable?
4	A Yes.
5	Q No reasonable person would react this way to
6	a documentary, correct?
7	A I've had reasonable people question me about
8	it, yes.
9	Q Well, that's not my question. No reasonable
10	person would watch a documentary and then call and
11	leave a death threat, correct?
12	A Well, I would hope not, but maybe your
13	definition of reasonable and mine might be different.
14	Q What about under your definition?
15	A I could see how someone could be so moved by
16	such a production that they may contemplate it.
17	Q Uh-huh. And follow through, you think
18	that's reasonable?
19	A No, I don't think it's reasonable.
20	Q Okay. So now I want to talk a little more
21	about Ms. Maurer. You didn't list her in your
22	interrogatory responses, and I think your explanation
23	for that yesterday was you haven't talked to her
24	about the facts underlying facts in this case or
25	this lawsuit, correct?

1 Q And I'm in Exhibit A --2. Α Okay. 3 Q -- of Exhibit 1, which is our initial 4 letter. Got it. 5 Α 0 And I'm at number 63. 6 7 Α Oh, okay. Okay. 8 Q So let me rephrase the question. You agreed 9 to number 61, and you agreed to number 62, that the 10 relationship with Ms. Maurer harmed your marriage and 11 it harmed your relationship with your children. 12 Α Yes. 13 And I'm trying to understand how the -- how 14 you can deny that none of this caused you anxiety and 15 distress. Can you explain that? 16 Α Well, I quess --17 I can rephrase. Did the divorce cause you 0 18 anxiety? 19 Α Sure. 20 Did the divorce cause you distress? Q 21 I don't know about anxiety. So --Α 22 What's the word --Q 23 Α I'm not exactly sure of the difference in 24 definition between the two, but I would say it certainly caused me some distress, yes. 25

1	Q Did it cause you to take anxiety medication?
2	A I was already prescribed anxiety medication
3	long before this happened.
4	Q Did you take the anxiety medication because
5	you were anxious about the divorce and the impacts it
6	was having on your relationships?
7	A No.
8	Q Did it raise your blood pressure?
9	A Well, I'm on meds for hypertension, again,
10	long before the divorce came. So I don't frequently
11	check my blood pressure anymore.
12	Q Okay. Did it cause you to lose sleep or to
13	lose or gain weight or to drink alcohol more?
14	A No.
15	Q Any other form of distress it caused you?
16	A No.
17	Q Did it make you sad?
18	A Yes, of course.
19	Q How long was the period of difficulty before
20	you resolved things with your children?
21	A Probably from May of '21 through maybe
22	September of '21, so that time frame.
23	Q What happened in May? Is that when you told
24	your children you were divorcing?
25	A Yes. Yes.

1	Q Okay.
2	A Well, none of my children, with the
3	exception of the youngest one, lives in Manitowoc
4	County. The youngest one I told right away.
5	Q So you stipulated that you voluntarily
6	retired from the sheriff's department, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you stipulated you had a retirement
9	party, correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And people came to the party?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you felt supported at that party?
14	A By the attendees?
15	Q Yes.
16	A I should mention the retirement party wasn't
17	just mine. There were three of us that retired the
18	exact same day, all from the detective bureau. So it
19	was a it was all of our parties, not just mine.
20	Q You felt supported at that party?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So if you can look at that Exhibit 1 and the
23	proposed stipulation number 49. Yeah, I think just
24	back a page or two there.
25	A Okay. Yeah.

## 1 correct? 2. Α I don't recall having a resumé, no. 3 Q Okay. And so presumably you were hired at 4 the hospital based solely on your reputation; is that 5 right? 6 Α Well, I filled out a job application online. 7 That's how I got the job. Did you know the person hiring you? 8 Q 9 Α No. 10 Q Did Making a Murderer come up during the 11 interview? 12 Α Yes. 13 Had the person who interviewed you watched Q 14 it? 15 Α They didn't tell me whether they watched it 16 or not. 17 Okay. But the existence of the documentary Q did not keep them from hiring you? 18 19 Α Okay. 20 You got the job? Q 21 That is correct, yes. Α 22 And you have good relationships with your Q 23 coworkers? 24 Α Yes. 25 Have you taken any other job since retiring Q

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 A Yes, I see it.

Q You told the interviewer, "When I announced my retirement, I received calls from tens, if not hundreds, of people who thanked me or told me that I had helped them through a difficult time or they were glad that I did this or glad that I did that, and numerous people have apologized to me for not coming forward." Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q So we had asked you to stipulate to the following statement: "Upon announcing his retirement, Mr. Colborn received supportive calls from dozens of people." Here you said you received calls from tens, if not hundreds, of people.

So my question is will you stipulate to that statement? I'll read it again. "Upon announcing his retirement" --

- A Where is this statement?
- Q Yeah, it's in Exhibit 1.
- 20 | A In your --
- 21 Q My letter, number 51.
- 22 A Okay. Thank you. Okay.
- Q Will you agree to that statement, having seen where it came from?
- 25 A Yes.

1 MR. BURNETT: Wait until we get a copy. 2 Q Do you remember signing it? 3 Α I don't even totally re -- the document 4 looks vaguely familiar. I'm not saying I didn't sign 5 it, but I don't recall it. 6 Q Okay. Do you remember ever feeling 7 obligated not to defend yourself publicly because of any agreement you had with Ken Kratz? 8 9 Α Well, no, not -- yeah, I don't -- I don't I'm not sure what this is in reference to. 10 11 know he was trying to do a project similar to 12 Convicting a Murderer but with a different production company. So I'm assuming it might be related to that 13 14 but I'm not 100 percent positive, but if I had signed 15 it, I probably would have tried to uphold my end of 16 the agreement, but I don't recall signing it. 17 So as far as you know, it would just be your relationship with Convicting and your obligations to 18 19 the sheriff's department along the lines of what you 20 stipulated to yesterday that prevented you from defending yourself publicly; is that correct? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Do you recall telling the 24 interviewers for Convicting a Murderer that you were

inundated with requests by the media for interviews?

1 And I can point you to a document, but I'll ask --2 before we take that time, I'll just ask if you 3 remember that. 4 Α Is it Number 8 again? 5 Q It is. Α 6 Okay. 7 Q Page 353. 8 Α Thank you. 9 Q It's the third box. 10 Α Okay. I'm there. 11 That third box begins, "The story, Q 12 unfortunately, was not over. I thought it was over, 13 but it wasn't. Interestingly, after the guilty 14 verdict came out, I was inundated with requests by the media for an interview." Did I read that 15 16 correctly? 17 Α Yes. And you testified yesterday that except for 18 Q 19 that initial press statement that your department 20 authorized you to give, you've never spoken to the 21 media or anyone publicly about the accusations you 22 believed were levied at you, correct? Not that I recall. 23 А 24 Q Mr. Colborn, you are -- let me ask 25 Do you understand the downsides that had for this.

1	dollar figure on it.
2	Q Okay.
3	A I would need a jury to make that
4	determination.
5	Q What about Jerome Buting, can you put a
6	dollar figure on how much he's harmed your
7	reputation?
8	A That would be the same answer.
9	Q And Kathleen Zellner?
10	A Kathleen Zellner? She hasn't so she's
11	flipped from different theory to different theory.
12	Now law enforcement isn't even a suspect anymore.
13	Plus, this is being used in the course of the defense
14	of her client, so I would have no standing in that.
15	Q What about Dean Strang, can you put a dollar
16	figure on how much he's harmed your reputation?
17	A I would just repeat the same answer that I
18	gave you for Jerome Buting and John Ferak.
19	Q Okay. Mr. Colborn, my last few pages here
20	is about your medical records, and I'm going to try
21	not to go through them one by one in the interest of
22	time. So I'll just ask you a couple questions, and
23	then we'll see how deep we have to go into these.
24	A Okay.
25	Q Isn't it true that you were not prescribed

1	anxiety and hypertension medication until two weeks
2	after you filed this lawsuit, December 28th, 2018?
3	A That's two different prescriptions.
4	Q Yeah. I can ask it this way. Isn't it true
5	you weren't prescribed anxiety medication at any
6	point before you filed this lawsuit?
7	A I don't recall the date I was prescribed.
8	Q Well, you didn't go on anxiety medication
9	when Making a Murderer was released, correct?
10	A No.
11	Q And you didn't go on anxiety medication that
12	first year when you have told us you were
13	experiencing all this backlash from Making a
14	Murderer, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And you didn't go on it 2 within the
17	second year after its release in 2017, correct?
18	A Do you have my medical record there so I can
19	look at the date?
20	Q Yeah. Exhibit 120.
21	(Exhibit 120 marked for identification.)
22	A Thank you.
23	Q Uh-huh. So
24	A Where is the date?
25	Q Yeah, I'm trying to find it for you. So the
	333

1 date is about halfway down the page. It says Today's 2 You saw Theresa Krueger-Junk, Nurse 3 Practitioner, on Friday, December 28th of 2018. Do 4 you see that? And then above there it says you 5 started taking buspirone and isinopril. 6 Α Lisinopril. 7 0 Thank you. 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. Q 10 Α Yeah, I see the -- I see the date. 11 Okay. And does that jog your memory as to 0 12 whether it was December 28th, 2018 when you first started taking those medications? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Q Okay. So not one, not two, but three entire 16 years after Making a Murderer was released, correct? 17 Correct. Α And, in fact, it was filing the lawsuit that 18 Q 19 seemed to raise your anxiety levels; is that correct? 20 Α No. 21 Well, the lawsuit was filed in December 0 22 2018, and about eleven days later is when you went on 23 these anxiety and blood pressure medications, 24 correct? 25 I would have to check on the blood pressure Α

1	because I thought it was a preceding visit, but I'm
2	not 100 percent positive, but certainly I was on them
3	by this visit. It was the fact that this just was
4	never going away probably
5	Q Okay. When do you think you went on
6	A is the greatest
7	Q Oh, I didn't mean to interrupt.
8	A That's okay.
9	Q When did you think you went on blood
10	pressure medication?
11	A So because I have asthma, I have to have a
12	visit every six months as opposed to a year. So I
13	thought it was the six-month visit before that that I
14	would have gone on blood pressure medication.
15	Q When would that have been approximately, the
16	date?
17	A Well, I'm assuming June of '18.
18	Q Okay. Does asthma tend to cause high blood
19	pressure; do you know?
20	A My asthma's pretty well controlled, but I
21	don't I don't know if hypertension is a by-product
22	of having asthma, for lack of a better word.
23	(Exhibit 117 marked for identification.)
24	Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what we've
25	marked as Exhibit 117. This is another medical

1 You can see about a third of the way down 2 the page the date of this visit was December 14th, 3 2018, which would have been three or four days before 4 you filed the lawsuit in this case. Do you see that? 5 Α Okay. 0 And if you flip to the second page, at the 6 7 very bottom there's a note that says, "Informed patient his blood pressure is slightly elevated. 8 9 Discussed diet/salt restriction/exercise. He will 10 monitor blood pressure at home and follow up if he 11 notices it stays elevated." Did I read that 12 correctly? 13 Α Yes, you did. 14 Does this jog your memory that --0 15 Α Yes. 16 Q -- this was maybe the first time you had 17 elevated blood pressure? 18 А Correct. So --19 Three days or four days before you filed the 0 20 lawsuit? 21 Α Correct. (Exhibit 112 marked for identification.) 22 23 Q Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 112. 24 This is another medical report. 25 Α Okay.

1 This is from February 2018. Do you see that 2 at the top? 3 Α Where it says dictated on 2/9/18 or no? 4 0 I was looking at filed on 2/12/18, but --5 Α Okay. 6 -- it says you were seen on 2/9/18. So Q 7 anyway, February '18, correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 Okay. If you could flip to the third page. 10 Α Is it page 155 that you want? 11 Yeah, and also 156. 0 12 Α Okay. 13 Q You see it's just --14 Got it. Α 15 Q -- off by one. So this would have been two and a half years or so after Making a Murderer's 16 17 release, two years? Do you see that? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And you filled out two screening 0 20 questionnaires. One was the Depression Questionnaire 21 where zero means not at all and 3 is nearly every 22 day, and you scored a 1 out of, I think, 30 points 23 here. There's ten items. Does that sound right to 24 you? 25 Α Yes.

1 Okay. So that's a very low score on the 2 Depression Scale, correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. And you were being honest when you 5 completed this questionnaire? 6 Α Maybe. I don't know if I was honest or not. 7 I didn't want to be put on any sort of medication. Okay. Well, this is --8 Q 9 Α So I may have stretched things, but I would 10 think that for the most part I was honest. 11 This is the only -- medical records 0 Okay. 12 are the only evidence we have of your alleged 13 anxiety, correct? 14 Α Correct. 15 Q Okay. The second questionnaire is the GAD, 16 which is the General Anxiety Disorder questionnaire. 17 Again, zero means no anxiety at all, and in every 18 category you put a zero, correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Okay. On the next page, toward the bottom, 0 in all caps there's a word that says PSYCH with a 21 22 colon. Do you see that? 2.3 Α Is it on 157? 24 Q Uh-huh. 25 No, I don't see that. Α

```
1
                  I think -- so do you see there's -- there's
 2
       page 156 of the medical report and then there's
 3
       COLBORN 157?
 4
            Α
                 Yeah, I have --
 5
            Q
                  So look at --
 6
                 Oh, I see.
            Α
                              Okay.
 7
            Q
                 Look at COLBORN 157.
 8
            Α
                 Yeah, that's the page I have, COLBORN 157.
 9
                  Correct. And so do you see right here PSYCH
            Q
10
       at the top?
11
            Α
                 Okay.
12
            Q
                  It's actually at the top and the bottom,
13
       PSYCH?
14
            Α
                 Yes.
15
            Q
                  It says, "Denies anxiety, depression, or
       mania."
16
17
            Α
                 Yes.
18
            Q
                 Do you see that?
19
            Α
                 Yes.
20
                 And that's accurate, correct?
            Q
21
            Α
                  It's accurate that I denied telling her I
22
       had it, yes.
                           Okay. And, again, all we have to
23
            Q
                 Uh-huh.
24
       go on in terms of your anxiety and distress and
25
       emotional distress is your medical records, correct?
```

1 MR. BURNETT: Let me object to the form 2. of the question. Go ahead. 3 Q And your testimony here today, that's all 4 we've got, correct? 5 Α Correct. Q Okay. I don't think I've given you 6 7 Exhibit 123, but I'm about to. Okay. 8 Α (Exhibit 123 marked for identification.) 9 And this is another medical record. 10 0 You can 11 see at the top under Encounter Information, it says 2/20 of 2019. Do you see that? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. About a year later; is that right? 0 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Okay. Go to the second page of that 17 document. At the very top it says Anxiety. Do you see that word? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And you told the doctor your personal 0 21 situation had improved. Do you see that? 22 Uh-huh. Α 23 Okay. And then there's on that same page another Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire. 24 25 Do you see that?

1 Α Yes. 2 0 And you put mostly zeros. You scored a 2 3 out of a possible 21 points. Do you see that? 4 Α Uh-huh. Yes. 5 Q Okay. You were accurate in answering that 6 questionnaire? 7 Α Yes. MS. WALKER: All right. So let's go off 8 9 the record. I think I'm done, but I just want to 10 check my notes. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 12 at 10:59. 13 (Brief recess held.) 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 11:18. 15 16 MR. BURNETT: Kevin, can I go ahead and 17 make that statement before you start? 18 MR. VICK: Sure. 19 MR. BURNETT: We've had a chance to 20 discuss the time arrangement off the record, and I 21 suspect we've exceeded the general rule for seven 22 I've talked to Mr. Vick, and I'm going to let 23 him continue to question Mr. Colborn with the 24 recognition that most of his questions are going to 25 be in the -- on the subject matters -- on subject

1 the last question, the question before it? 2 Q Oh, sure. 3 Α Can I have that read back to me --4 0 Yeah. -- please? 5 Α 6 Do you agree that Mr. Kratz was asking Q 7 questions here to make it clear that this call didn't motivate you to frame Mr. Avery for the murder of 8 9 Ms. Halbach? 10 Α Yes. 11 And to make clear that you didn't plant 0 12 evidence against Mr. Avery? 13 I don't know if this had anything to do with Α 14 planting evidence. He was -- well, I guess if we go 15 on to the next page, yes. I'm only -- I'm only on 16 Are we including 48? 47. 17 Oh, to be clear, I was asking about 47 and 48. 18 19 Α Okay. 20 If you'd like a moment to review, that's 0 21 fine. 22 Α Okay. I got it. Yes, that came up as well. 23 Is there anything I'm missing here that you Q 24 would say is, you know, a crucial point in your 25 testimony?

1 MR. BURNETT: Objection, form. 2. Α They -- to start off, they eliminated the --3 my identification of myself when I answered the 4 I answered the phone. I said, "Manitowoc 5 County Jail, Officer Colborn." I didn't identify 6 myself as a deputy. By eliminating that, people 7 watching this -- and I'm dressed in a law enforcement 8 uniform, when I'm testifying, people automatically 9 assume that when I was working in '94, '95, I'm a 10 sworn law enforcement officer by eliminating that, 11 because if I was a sworn law enforcement officer, my 12 answering the phone would have been Manitowoc County 13 Jail, Deputy Colborn, but I wasn't a deputy at the 14 I'm a non-sworn corrections officer. 15 people now are like, Hmm, he's a law enforcement 16 officer but he doesn't do nothing with this 17 information. And is that why you transferred the call to 18 19 the detectives --20 Α Correct. -- detective and the sheriff? 21 Q 22 Α I had no authority to --

MR. BURNETT: You've got to let him

finish.

23

24

25

COURT REPORTER: Yeah, I missed the end

1 of your question, Kevin. 2. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 3 Q Is that why you transferred the call to the sheriff's office? 4 5 MR. BURNETT: Go ahead. 6 Α Well, the jail is part of the sheriff's office, but that's why I transferred the call to an 7 8 investigator, yes, sir. 9 0 Do you know if that fact is reflected in 10 Making a Murderer, that you transferred the call to a 11 detective? I'm not quizzing you on the contents of that, Mr. Colborn. I'm just asking if you know. 12 I don't know if it's in Making a Murderer or 13 Α 14 not. 15 Q Let's --16 Α And I --17 Let's look at a different clip. 0 18 Α Okay. 19 This is Episode 7 still, and I'm looking at 0 20 minute 17, second 36 to minute 19, second 10. still sharing? Yes. 21 22 (Video playing.) 23 Q So the clip that we just saw, Mr. Colborn, 24 that makes clear that you received this call in 1994 25 or '95 when you were a corrections officer, right?

2.

wishy-washy about that, pretty unsure of himself.

For instance, "Have you ever planted any evidence against Mr. Avery?" my response at trial was, "That is ridiculous, no, I have not." And then the second question Mr. Kratz asked me, "Have you ever planted any evidence against anybody in the course of your law enforcement career?" that whole question is eliminated. Instead, it looks like I answered, "Have you ever planted evidence against Mr. Avery" by saying, "I have to say this is the first time my integrity has been questioned." That doesn't come across very forceful or convincing. It's hardly answering the question. So I don't believe that's an accurate portrayal.

Q Did you feel that accusations that you planted evidence against Mr. Avery were calling into question your integrity?

A The question was have you ever planted any evidence against anybody in the course of your law enforcement career. That's my answer to that question.

Q Mr. Colborn, I'm going to move to strike.

That wasn't my question.

My question is leaving this for a second, did you feel that accusations against you that you

1 planted evidence against Mr. Avery, that that called 2 into question your integrity as a law enforcement 3 officer? 4 Α Yes. 5 Q And do you feel like this scene shows you 6 denying that you planted any evidence against 7 Mr. Avery? 8 Α I'm sorry. The scene on Making a 9 Murderer --10 Q Sure. 11 -- that you just showed me? Α The clip we just -- we just --12 Q 13 Α Is that what you are asking about? 14 The clip we just looked at, you deny having Q 15 planted any evidence against Mr. Avery, right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. Last one. If you could move on to page 52 of Exhibit B. 18 19 Α Okay. 20 And what I'm interested in here is where it 21 starts, oh, maybe a quarter of the page down, it says 22 Redirect Examination. 23 Α Okay. I see it. 24 Q So just looking at that section. 25 Α Okay.

1	A Yes.
2	Q that Dean Strang asked about this subject
3	matter during his cross-exam.
4	A Yes.
5	Q And then Mr. Kratz on redirect wanted to
6	respond to some of the points that Mr. Strang had
7	raised, right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Mr. Kratz wanted to make clear that you
10	hadn't written a report about the call in 1994 or
11	'95?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And that if you had written a report you
14	wouldn't have known what it was about; is that right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q That you didn't know the call was even about
17	Mr. Avery, right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Is there anything I'm missing here that's
20	key to understanding your testimony?
21	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
22	A I explained in the presence of all these
23	questions were in the presence of the jury. I
24	explained in the presence of the jury my reason that
25	I didn't write a report has been eliminated from my

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

testimony. It just simply says, "I don't know what it would have been about," and that was actually a question, "that I received a call and transferred it to the Detective Division." There would have been no need to write a report every time you receive a telephone call and transfer it. Certainly there's no agency on the face of the Earth that does a report about that, and that whole explanation has been eliminated from my testimony there.

Q Let's take a look at the clip.

- 11 A Okay.
  - Q And this is still in Episode 7. It's at minute 23, second 48 to minute 24, second 5.

14 (Video playing.)

- Q So the clip that we watched, again, it made clear that you didn't write a report in 1994 or '95 about the call, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
  - Q That if you had written a report, you wouldn't have known what it was about, right?
- 21 A Correct.
  - Q And we can agree that the line about whether you knew the call was about Mr. Avery, that's not in this clip, right?
- 25 A Correct.

1 Steven Avery case with the first episode airing 2 tonight," right? 3 Α Yes. 4 I'm looking down at about the fifth line in 5 the middle. Do you see where it begins and it says, 6 "I didn't know Steven Avery"? 7 Α Yeah. Yes. I'll read that. It says, "I didn't know 8 Q 9 Steven Avery from Adam until two years into my employment with MTSO in 1994, and then only by name." 10 11 Did I read that correctly? 12 Α Yes. 13 Does that refresh your recollection that it 14 would have been in 1994 when you first heard the name 15 Steven Avery? 16 I see it has 1994 on that. I believe that's Α 17 I don't recall anything in '94 when I was 18 working in the jail as it pertained to Steven Avery. 19 I really don't. 20 You just told me that your employment began with the Manitowoc Sheriff's Office in 1994, right? 21 22 No, '92. Α 23 '92 rather, yes. In '92, correct? Q '92, yes. 24 Α 25 But this sentence says, "I didn't know Steve Q 366

1	Avery from Adam until two years into my employment
2	with MTSO in 1994," right?
3	A Right.
4	Q So wouldn't that timeline be correct then?
5	A Well, the timeline is correct, but I I
6	was confusing it with something, I guess. I don't
7	recall I can't sit here and tell you how I heard
8	the name Steven Avery in 1994 because I don't recall.
9	Q But you would agree with me that that's what
10	it says here in this email that you wrote in 2015?
11	A Yes, I agree with you that's yes, sir.
12	Q Do you remember what you heard the first
13	time that you heard about Steven Avery?
14	A Well, my recollection is the first time I
15	heard about Steven Avery was in '96.
16	Q Okay. We've talked about the timing
17	A Uh-huh.
18	Q and that you're saying you think it's
19	1996 despite the fact this document is saying 1994.
20	A Yes.
21	Q I want to move on to the question of what
22	did you hear when you first heard Steven about
23	Steven Avery, regardless of the time of when that
24	occurred.
25	A Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I thought it's probably a current jail inmate. Не didn't give me a name, and I said -- again, I identified myself as a corrections officer. He recognized that I wasn't a law enforcement officer and that he had called the jail. So I either told him, "I think you're going to probably want to talk to a detective" or he said, "Can you connect me to a detective?" And I gave him the number to which I was transferring him, and then I transferred it to our -the chief investigator, which that position has 11 changed to a lieutenant. But at the time his rank was CI, chief investigator, and I transferred it to his office phone. 13 14 Who was the chief investigator at that time? 0 Α Gene Kusche. Q And that's whom you transferred the call to? Α Yes, sir. Q Did the caller from the other county --Uh-huh. Α

-- did they mention anything about the 0 nature of the underlying crime?

All they said was assault, which could be a Α bar fight, it could be a DVO.

And location, they -- they -- he told -- was it a he?

1	looked at earlier, which was the statement you
2	prepared on September 12th?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now so would you agree that based on this
5	document, at least what this document purports to say
6	is that your statement was, in fact, kept in the
7	sheriff's department safe?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And yesterday you stipulated to that fact,
10	right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. Now, you said that James Lenk had
13	given some incorrect information to Sheriff Petersen?
14	A Yes.
15	Q How do you know that?
16	A The paragraph that reads, "Sergeant Colborn
17	said he was later informed by someone that the case
18	was already solved and the right person was
19	arrested." I never said that.
20	Q And how do you know that that's what he
21	passed on to Sheriff Petersen?
22	A I'm reading it off his statement, so I
23	wasn't there when he I don't know what he said
24	verbally to the sheriff.
25	Q That was going to be my question, is whether

1 the lawsuit. The other two I don't believe so. 2 Q How much of Making a Murderer would you 3 estimate that you watched before you filed the 4 lawsuit? 5 Α Like in what context? Minutes? Q Sure, minutes. 6 7 Α Less than 30. How about as you sit here today, do you have 8 Q 9 a sense of total number of minutes? And if you want 10 to give me a range, that's fine. 11 45 to 60. Probably less than 60. 30 to 45. Α 12 0 Is that -- so you've only -- let me make sure I'm understanding this correctly. Have you 13 14 watched 30 to 45 more minutes or is it still 30 to 15 45 minutes total, meaning --16 Α No. 17 -- you've only watched an extra --0 18 30 to 45 more minutes, additional minutes. Α 19 0 Oh, okay. Gotcha. 20 Α Sorry. So then it's a total of like an hour to hour 21 0 22 15? 23 Α Possibly, yes. 24 MR. VICK: Okay. This is a good time to 25 take a break. 411

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 said, "Well, we're going to get a game plan together. 2. We might bring in one more detective, and we're going 3 to wait until he shows up. " I said, "Well, by now, 4 they might start to realize that this girl's missing. 5 I'm going to go sit on the Zipperers' residence until 6 you guys are ready to go out." So I sat in a 7 different patrol car, because I had a marked unit. I had to turn that back over, I think. 8 I don't believe 9 I took a marked unit out there, but I might have. 10 And I sat in the parking lot of a church. 11 there's a point that Brenda thinks Dave Remiker asked 12 me to run the plate and that's when I called it in, 13 but I don't believe that to be the case, but I didn't 14 argue with her that it isn't possible. 15 Q You're just not sure?

A I'm relatively sure I called it in at 18:37.

I seem to remember doing that. But I'm not saying
her theory isn't impossible.

Q Let's look at Exhibit 1114.

(Exhibit 1114 marked for identification.)

# Q Is this another text chain between you and Brenda Schuler?

A I don't know why it says it -- like, theirs has my phone number. This says LT ALC, but the date is 2020, so I'm out of law enforcement by that time.

1 Strang.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I think you're right, but you made two of -you made two denials that we saw in that clip
earlier, right?

A Yes.

Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 2, which is the -- your Second Amended Complaint.

A Oh, I actually have that one handy for once.

Q And I'd like for you to look at paragraph

33, and I'll read the paragraph.

A Okay.

0 It says, "A central part of Avery's defense at trial was that Plaintiff and other Manitowoc officers planted Halbach's HUV" [sic] "at the Avery Salvage Yard where Avery resided in a house trailer. With Plaintiff on the stand, Avery's attorneys played portions of his call to dispatch in an effort to convince jurors that he came upon the SUV at an undisclosed location on November 3rd, two days before it was found at the salvage yard. Cross examining Plaintiff about the contents of the call, Avery's attorneys suggested that Plaintiff was looking directly at Halbach's vehicle when he called dispatch. The claim is entirely baseless and false, and Defendants knew of its falsity." Did I read that

1	right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What is the basis for your allegation that
4	defendants knew of the falsity of this central part
5	of Avery's defense?
6	MR. BURNETT: Objection, foundation.
7	Go ahead.
8	A They were sitting in the courtroom and saw
9	my complete unedited testimony.
10	Q Now, you were alone when you made the call
11	to dispatch you said, right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q So you're the only one that would know for
14	certain whether or not you were looking at Teresa
15	Halbach's car when you made that call, correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And Avery's
18	A I don't have an eyewitness with me, no.
19	Q And Avery's attorneys were suggesting the
20	opposite, right?
21	A I wasn't really sure what Avery's attorneys
22	were suggesting, and I don't want to speculate or
23	tell you that that's what they were doing because I
24	don't know.
25	Q But your testimony at trial and your

L	Q	But	it's	a	sentiment	that	some	people	were
2	saying at	the	time,	r	right?				

MR. BURNETT: Objection, foundation.

A Yeah.

Q It's a sentiment that some people expressed to you at the time?

A Uh-huh. Yes.

Q So then, Mr. Colborn, how can you say that my clients knew that Avery's defense attorneys' theory was false?

MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.

A For the reason that I said. They sat in the courtroom the entire time, so they were privy to information that the average citizen wouldn't have.

#### Q What was that information?

A Again, we had a gag order. So when people would ask me that question, I always had to say, "We can't discuss the case." There was a lot of people that didn't know for a long time that Brendan Dassey had confessed to investigators and then investigators were able to locate evidence based on Brendan's confession. People may not have known that the murder weapon was hanging over his bed. People may not have known her bones were in his backyard after he mutilated her and burned her up in his pit. They

needle."

2.

A Yes.

Q "The hypodermic needle hole in this case was made when a specimen of Avery's blood was drawn by a phlebotomist and stored in the vial in connection with a 1996 post-conviction motion in his wrongful conviction case. The procedure necessarily resulted in the creation of a hole in the rubber stopper. The phlebotomist who drew the specimen from Avery in 1996 was prepared to testify that's what happened in this instance." Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q What is the basis for your allegation in the next sentence which is, "Having attended the trial in its entirety, Defendants Ricciardi and Demos were aware of the routine nature of the hole on the vial's rubber stopper and that the phlebotomist who drew the specimen from Avery was prepared to testify."

MR. BURNETT: Objection, foundation.

Q Or let me rephrase that. Do you have a personal knowledge basis for making the allegation in that sentence I just read?

A I'm personally aware that your clients were in the court for its entirety, and I've seen the Making a Murderer episode where it's portrayed as a

1	great day for the defense when they discovered this
2	vial that I'm assuming could have only been filmed by
3	your defendants your clients I mean. I'm sorry.
4	Q Would it surprise you to learn that they
5	didn't film it?
6	A Yes, it would.
7	Q Do you recall that Norm Gahn is in there, in
8	that section, when it's being discovered?
9	A I viewed the portion where Jerome Buting is
10	making a call to co-counsel.
11	Q Do you recall a little bit later Norm Gahn
12	is in it too, who is one of the prosecutors?
13	A I know who Norm Gahn is, but I didn't view
14	that portion of it.
15	Q So why do you think that my clients were
16	aware of the routine nature of the hole on the vial's
17	rubber stopper and that the phlebotomist who drew the
18	specimen from Avery was prepared to testify?
19	MR. BURNETT: Objection, foundation.
20	Q Do you have any personal knowledge to
21	support that portion of the allegation?
22	A I don't recall the motion hearing where that
23	was discussed, if I was present or not, so I can't
24	again, a lot of these documents are the work product
25	of my counsel. I didn't compile all this information

1	and Deputy Kucharski, can you understand how they
2	might have some uncertainty about your three's
3	explanation about how the key came to be found that
4	day?
5	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form,
6	foundation.
7	A I don't have an instinctive distrust of law
8	enforcement. I trust law enforcement because I was
9	in it for 27 years. So I like to think that my
10	testimony and when I say something, people understand
11	that I'm under oath and I'm saying the truth. If I
12	don't know the answer to a question, I say I don't
13	know.
14	Q But can you understand how people who didn't
15	know you personally, I'm not saying that they
16	necessarily think that you're lying, but how they
17	could walk away from hearing the explanation of how
18	the key was found and just say, "I'm not sure what
19	happened"?
20	MR. BURNETT: Objection
21	Q Can you understand that?
22	MR. BURNETT: Objection to form and
23	foundation.
24	A My explanation at trial was the only
25	possible way I could think that that key got to where

1 Α Correct. 2 And Ms. Walker talked yesterday about 3 certain things regarding a number of Mr. Avery's 4 prior crimes that were not presented to the jury 5 also, right? 6 Α We talked about that yesterday, yes. 7 So I won't repeat the stuff that you went 8 over yesterday, but I did want to talk about some 9 other things that are included in Making a Murderer 10 that present Steven Avery in a negative light that 11 were not even presented to the jury but are reflected 12 in Making a Murderer. 13 Are you aware that Making a Murderer 14 includes Chuck Avery's statement that after Brendan 15 Dassey's confession, he was, quote, pretty positive, 16 end quote, that Steven probably had murdered Teresa 17 Halbach? 18 Α No, I haven't seen that. 19 0 And Chuck Avery is Steven Avery's brother, 20 right? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Are you aware that Making a Murderer includes a scene where Barb Tadych tells Steven Avery 23 24 that she hopes he burns in hell for what he did? 25 Her name might be pronounced "Todd-ick," but Α

no, I'm not aware of that.

- Q Are you aware that there is a scene in Making a Murderer where Steven Avery tells his parents that if they didn't figure out how to get him out on bail within two weeks, he was going to give up and kill himself?
  - A No, I'm not aware of that.
- Q Are you aware that there's a scene in Making a Murderer where Steven Avery himself opines that the prosecution was, quote, going to win anyway?
  - A No, I'm not aware of that.
- Q Are you aware that Making a Murderer contains interviews with some people who say violent crime was in Steven Avery's character and others who say it was not?
- A Well, I have seen interviews where people say that the police did it on Making a Murderer. I haven't seen any clips or any video where people are saying that they believe they -- law enforcement got it. So I'm unaware of that.
- Q I'm really trying to limit the number of clips I show you given our time crunch.
- A Sure.
- Q So I'm going to pose these instead rather as questions.

1	A Okay.
2	Q Are you aware that there's a scene where
3	Steven Avery's sister says that a violent assault was
4	not in his nature?
5	A No.
6	Q Are you aware that there's a scene where a
7	member of the media says that it was because he was
8	one of the usual suspects around Manitowoc County?
9	A No.
10	Q Are you aware that there's a scene where the
11	presiding judge in the Penny Beerntsen case says that
12	he believed Avery's propensity against violence
13	against violence against women in particular, was
14	a fact?
15	A No, I'm not aware of that.
16	Q Isn't that a good example of Making a
17	Murderer showing different viewpoints and opinions
18	regarding Steven Avery's character?
19	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
20	Go ahead.
21	A I would have to watch the entire thing to
22	offer an intelligent answer on that, and I haven't
23	done that.
24	Q Are you aware that Undersheriff Hermann is
25	interviewed in Making a Murderer?

Q

1 No, I wasn't aware of that. 2 0 Are you aware that he is extremely critical 3 of Steven Avery's allegations that evidence was 4 planted? 5 Α I'm not aware of that. Q Are you aware that there is a scene in 6 7 Making a Murderer where he not only denies the 8 planting allegations but characterizes them as, 9 quote, impossible, end quote, and quote, far-fetched, 10 end quote. 11 No, I'm not aware of that. Α 12 0 Now, incidentally, you ran against 13 Undersheriff Hermann to replace Ken Petersen as the 14 sheriff of Manitowoc County, right? 15 Α Yes, I did. 16 But Making a Murderer includes a clip of 0 17 him -- I'll represent that Making a Murderer includes a clip of him very vigorously disputing the planting 18 19 allegations that were made against law enforcement 20 officers. Are you aware of that? 21 Α No. 22 Is it your position that Making a Murderer Q 23 is biased against law enforcement? 24 Α Yes.

Are you aware that Laura Ricciardi has

1	yesterday or today, about the fact that there were
2	restrictions on your ability to comment on the case
3	while you were at the Manitowoc County Sheriff's
4	Office, right?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q But you testified that you were allowed to
7	give a statement after Steven Avery was found guilty,
8	correct?
9	A Yes.
LO	Q Are you aware that Making a Murderer
11	contains press coverage where that statement is read
<b>L2</b>	aloud?
13	A Attorney Walker did tell me that yesterday,
14	yes.
15	Q Are you surprised that Making a Murderer
16	included your public statement?
17	A I'm not 100 percent sure what I told
18	Attorney Walker, but I would like to see the context
19	in which it was portrayed.
20	Q Well, we don't have a ton of time, but this
21	one might be worth it then, so I'm going to go ahead
22	and show you that.
23	A Can you tell me what episode that is?
24	Q Yeah. Sure. It's Episode 8, which I
2 5	boliovo vou gaid vou novor roaghod?

1	A Right, I never did. So if they spent
2	Episodes 1 through 7 making me look like a villain,
3	I'm guessing Episode 8's inclusion of my statement
4	isn't going to my statement isn't going to be
5	taken very seriously by the viewing public who's
6	already made up their mind that I'm the villain.
7	Q I'll move to strike that. That wasn't what
8	my question was.
9	A Okay.
10	Q You just asked me what the what the
11	episode was.
12	A Right.
13	Q And I was trying to provide that
14	information. You know, I don't time is precious
15	at this point. I'll just say you might look for
16	yourself. It's Episode 8
17	A Okay.
18	Q minute 33 to 34. I think you may it's
19	up to you. You may find that it's actually just a
20	pretty neutral presentation of a news coverage where
21	they're just reading your statement.

A Okay. Thank you.

22

23

24

25

Q Did Brenda Schuler tell you that Making a Murderer included coverage of your public statement after Steven Avery's conviction?

1 Α Not that I recall. 2 0 Did Michael Griesbach tell you that? 3 Α Again, not that I recall. 4 MS. BARKER: Um... 5 Q I'm sorry. Before you were -- before he was your attorney, did -- you said not that you recall, 6 7 so it doesn't matter. 8 Α Right. 9 But, again, to be clear, I don't want any 0 10 conversations between you and Mr. Griesbach once he 11 was your attorney. 12 MS. BARKER: Thank you. 13 Q Now, how was it that you got selected to 14 give that public statement? 15 Α I believe the department felt that I had had 16 the worst -- worst luck with the media, and I don't 17 know 100 percent, but I thought they also wanted Jim Lenk to do one as well and he refused. 18 19 So then it fell to you to do it? 0 20 Well, I think initially it was going to be Α both of us. 21 22 Q Yeah. 23 But, yes, then I -- I was the only one left, Α and they coupled that with, "You will do it." 24 25 Q I mean, were you happy to do it? Did it

2.

#### give you sort of a sense of vindication?

A I can't say it gave me a sense of vindication, but yes, I was hoping that the Halbachs would appreciate that, you know, we can conducted this investigation to the best of our ability and ethically.

- Q Besides that public statement, did the sheriff's office allow you to make other public statements regarding the case?
  - A Not that I recall.
- Q Now, if they had allowed you to make statements about the case and if they'd allowed you to be interviewed for Making a Murderer, what would you have wanted to say?

A I don't know. I'm very distrustful of the media now, so I can't say 100 percent whether I would even do it.

Q Would you have wanted to see -- would you have wanted to have statements that, you know, you weren't guilty of things that some people were saying that you did?

A If I were to give a statement that would be included in there, I would emphasize a lot of these media attempts are eroding the public's confidence in law enforcement and the criminal justice system, and

1	while we're human and imperfect, for the most part
2	the criminal justice system does get it right.
3	Q I already told you about Undersheriff
4	Hermann's calling the planting accusations
5	far-fetched and impossible, right?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q So I'm going to play you now something from
8	Episode 5, which I believe is one that you have
9	seen or parts of the episode. I take that back.
LO	I'm going to show you a clip of Norm Gahn. Are you
11	familiar with this scene?
12	A No.
13	(Video playing.)
L <b>4</b>	Q Would you agree that that shows prosecutors
15	pushing back quite vigorously against the planting
16	theory?
17	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
18	A Yes.
<b>19</b>	Q And they refer to the officers being accused
20	as being good, solid, decent family men, right?
21	A I don't think I saw that, but I don't
22	recall hearing that, hearing them say that. I
23	thought it centered more around the testing of the
24	blood or that we have a right to have our reputations
25	protected or something to that extent.

I'll go to another clip that's maybe more 1 2 directly about you. This is in Episode 7. Oh, the 3 one I just showed was Episode 5, 1:08 to 2:34. 4 Α Okay. 5 Q The one I'm going to show now is Episode 7, 6 13:55 to 14:28. 7 (Video playing.) MS. RICCIARDI: You're in Episode 5. 8 9 MR. VICK: Oh, is this still in 10 Episode 5? My apologies. Now I'm in Episode 7. 11 (Video playing.) 12 Q Would you agree that that shows Ken Kratz vigorously disputing the planting allegations? 13 14 MR. BURNETT: Objection, form. 15 Α That appeared to be an out-of-court 16 interview --17 0 Yeah. -- with reporters, not in front of the judge 18 Α 19 like the preceding one. 20 Oh, you're absolutely correct. 0 I'm not 21 limiting this just to the in court. I'm saying would 22 you agree that this is an instance of Ken Kratz out 23 of court to the media, I think the word he used was 24 deplorable to describe the planting theory; is that accurate? 25

1	A Yes.
2	Q So this is another instance where Making a
3	Murderer shows people pushing back strongly against
4	the planting theory, right?
5	MR. BURNETT: Objection, form.
6	A In that particular clip, yes.
7	Q Had you ever seen that clip before?
8	A No.
9	Q Okay. Same thing, Episode 27 or
10	Episode 7. Now I'm going to 24:29 to 24:50. Again,
11	this is going to be another one out of court.
12	A Okay.
13	(Video playing.)
14	Q Is that another instance showing someone?
15	A Yes. I've seen that one.
16	Q Yeah. Did you appreciate that that one was
17	in this episode?
18	A I have to be honest with you, I don't
19	appreciate anything about Making a Murderer, but I
20	appreciate that the reporter asked that question of
21	Attorney Strang.
22	Q And do you appreciate that that reporter's
23	question was then included in this episode?
24	A Without watching it in its entirety, I have
25	to stay by my original answer that I don't appreciate

1	anything about Making a Murderer. I don't appreciate
2	it at all.
3	Q But you've testified that you haven't seen
4	the whole series, right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And I don't want to use my time showing you
7	all the episodes.
8	A Okay.
9	Q I'll represent Episode 7 at 34:45 to 35:08,
10	if you have any interest in seeing these later, I'm
11	sure your counsel could probably get it for you.
12	A Yes.
13	Q There's another episode of Norm there's
14	another instance of Norm Gahn sticking up for you.
15	Is that something you're aware is in Making a
16	Murderer?
17	A No. Well, is that the one you just showed
18	me or
19	Q It's a different one.
20	A Okay.
21	Q Are you aware that there is also footage, a
22	scene, of yet another instance of Norm Gahn, this
23	time at a press conference, where he's pushing back
24	on the planting theory?
25	A No.

1	Q Are you aware that during that press			
2	conference he calls it a, quote, despicable			
3	allegation?			
4	A No, I'm not aware of it.			
5	Q Would you say that Norm Gahn there in			
6	calling it a despicable allegation pretty accurately			
7	captures your own views of those allegations made			
8	against you and Lieutenant Lenk?			
9	A Certainly.			
10	Q Are you aware that there is a clip in			
11	Episode 7 of Making a Murderer that shows Mike			
12	Halbach giving his views on Steven Avery?			
13	A No.			
14	Q Are you aware that it that there's			
15	that it shows that Mike Halbach believes Steven Avery			
16	was guilty and was lying when he claimed to be			
17	innocent?			
18	A I'm not aware of that in Making a Murderer,			
19	no.			
20	Q So nobody had ever told you that Mike			
21	Halbach was in there was a scene involving Mike			
22	Halbach giving his opinion that Steven Avery was			
23	guilty and was lying?			
24	A As it pertains to Making a Murderer?			
25	Q Correct.			

1 Α That's correct. 2 Are you aware that there is a scene in 3 Making a Murderer in which Judge Willis provides his 4 view that Steven Avery is, quote, probably the most dangerous individual to set forth -- set foot in this 5 6 courtroom? 7 Α In Making a Murderer? Yes, in Making a Murderer. 8 Q 9 No, I'm not aware that that's in Making a Α 10 Murderer. 11 After this deposition, are you going to 0 12 watch the entire series do you think, Sergeant 13 Colborn? 14 MR. BURNETT: Objection, form, calls for 15 speculation. 16 As we sit here and talk right now, I don't Α 17 have that intention, but I certainly will seek the advice of my counsel on it. 18 19 Prior to bringing this lawsuit, did anybody 0 20 tell you about the clips that you and I have 21 discussed in the last hour or so in which various 22 individuals defend you? 2.3 Α No. 24 Do you think that could change your overall

view of the series?

1	A No.
2	Q How could you know without watching them?
3	A Well, I can't. You just said what do I
4	think, so I thought you wanted me to render an
5	opinion.
6	Q Did John Ferak's columns typically include
7	quotes from people in law enforcement who were
8	defending you, who were telling who were saying
9	that these are despicable allegations that are being
10	made?
11	A Not that I recall.
12	Q I'd like to look at Exhibit Exhibit 1146.
13	(Exhibit 1146 marked for identification.)
14	A Thank you.
15	Q This is another text between you and Brenda
16	Schuler, right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And she says at the top, "Andy, sorry to bug
19	you as I just deleted the emails not that long ago
20	from you. Ken needs them again. He lost them. So
21	sorry! Can you check your emails to me please? Your
22	'sent' file please?" And your response is, "I may
23	have hard copy but I think I deleted them from my
24	sent file and anywhere else after Ferak demanded all

our emails. Would hard copy work???" And she says,

1 I don't specifically recall that statement, 2. sir. 3 Q Would it surprise you to -- I don't want to 4 waste the time. Would it surprise you to see a text 5 in which she says something like that? 6 Α Is the text to me? 7 Q Yes. 8 Α Do you have the text? 9 Let's take a look at Exhibit 1144. 0 Sure. 1144. Do I have that one? 10 Α 11 You don't yet. Q 12 Α Okay. I've been jumping around out of order. 13 Q 14 Α Okay. Sorry. (Exhibit 1144 marked for identification.) 15 16 Q I'm looking at -- it's probably the third 17 page of the document. It's the one at the bottom 18 that says 8179. 19 Α Okay. 20 And down at the bottom, her last comment --21 well, right above that you say, "I would guess they 22 aren't going to like this deposition!" And then she 23 says, "I'll pay anything for a video. I mean 24 ANYTHING. " And you respond, "Lol. I know what you 25 mean!!"

1	Has Ms. Schuler asked you
2	A I don't know what she's talking about there.
3	Q You're not sure if she's talking about
4	A Whose "I would guess they aren't going
5	to" I don't know whose deposition she's talking
6	about.
7	Q Well, I'll
8	A I don't remember the conversation. I'm not
9	denying that that's my text messages.
10	Q I'll make the question a little bit broader.
11	Has Brenda Schuler asked you for any of the materials
12	that you've gotten in this case?
13	A The civil case?
14	Q Yes, the civil case.
15	A No, I can't recall her making any sort of
16	request for me to give her materials for this case.
17	I think she knows that all the materials are in the
18	possession of my coun of counsel.
19	Q And she hasn't asked you to
20	notwithstanding that, to pass on some of those
21	materials to you?
22	A Not that I'm aware of, no. Not that I can
23	recall.
24	Q Was she supportive about you bringing this
25	lawsuit?

1 Α Yes. Initially, yes. 2 Q I'd like to show you Exhibit 1145. 3 (Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.) 4 0 And this is another text chain between you 5 and Brenda Schuler, correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q And it's dated 11/30/2018, right? 8 Α Yes. And that's less than three weeks before you 9 0 10 filed the initial Complaint in this action, correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 At the top she says, "Can you talk to me? Q 13 need to call you, " right? 14 Yes, she said -- yes, that's what the text Α 15 says. 16 Q And you say, "Trust me my friend, you are 17 going to be up to your neck in this just like me. 18 Lol," correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 And then she responds, "Andy, not going to 21 lie, I think him repping you and now alone is a 22 really bad idea. If he had to go to internet ppl," 23 do you understand ppl means people --24 Α Yes. 25 -- "to get simple questions like these Q

1 Α Is that the Amended Complaint? It is, yeah. 2 0 3 Α Okay. 4 MR. BURNETT: Are we in a position to 5 wrap this up? 6 MR. VICK: We are. 7 MR. BURNETT: Great. I'd like you to look at paragraph 37 8 Q 9 specifically. 10 Α Okay. Okay. 11 So here you say, "Defendants Ricciardi and Q 12 Demos strategically spliced 'reaction' shots of 13 plaintiff appearing nervous and apprehensive at trial 14 into other portions of his testimony where he did not 15 appear nervous or apprehensive in fact." Do you see 16 that? 17 Α Yes. Do you recall what it was about your 18 Q 19 demeanor in any of the shots that made you look 20 nervous or apprehensive? Was there anything that you 21 can recall right now that made you feel that way? 22 Specifically the clip that you showed me Α 23 that I commented on earlier where it appears that 24 Dean Strang is giving me some sort of staredown and 25 the -- it pans to the shot of me leaning back and

2.

cracking my knuckles.

I did that during a recess out of the view of the jury. I certainly didn't do it in front of Attorney Strang, but it certainly does make me look nervous and apprehensive and that I've been caught in some sort of lie.

Q Now, Mr. Colborn, I'm not sure if you're aware, but during this deposition the last couple days, you've kept your head down a decent amount.

Does that sound right?

A I'm frequently reading, but yes.

Q And you've sometimes had your head in your hands or cracked your knuckles in the course of this deposition. Does that sound right?

A Okay. I don't recall that, but I don't know what -- what you want me to -- what you're trying to -- can you clarify a little bit for me?

Q Well, is it possible that maybe things like cracking your knuckles or looking down, that that's just a natural mannerism of yours?

A The footage that I've watched of my trial testimony, I frequently make contact with whosever questioning me. Now, I was not in trial given a stack of documents like this and told frequently to go to this page, go to that page, look at this, look

## 1 counseling session? 2 Α Knock it off. 3 Q Now, if you do watch Making a Murderer in 4 its entirety, will you agree to supplement, either a 5 stipulation or some sort of answer, letting us know 6 that you've, in fact, watched the whole thing? 7 MR. BURNETT: That's a question for me, and we will. 8 That's all we have. 9 MR. VICK: Okay. 10 MR. BURNETT: Thank you. All righty. 11 Paula, we will read and sign. We're done. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 13 at 4:40. 14 (Proceedings concluded at 4:40 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 499

1	CERTIFICATION PAGE			
2				
3	STATE OF WISCONSIN )			
4	MILWAUKEE COUNTY )			
5				
6	I, PAULA M. HUETTENRAUCH, RMR, CRR, Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify:			
7				
8	That prior to being examined, the deponent named in the foregoing deposition, ANDREW L. COLBORN, was by me duly sworn to testify			
9	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.			
10	That said deposition was taken before			
11	me at the time, date, and place set forth; and I hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and			
12 13	orrect transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and hereafter reduced to computerized transcription nder my direction and supervision.			
14	I further certify that I am neither			
15	counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any way interested in the outcome thereof; and that I have no contract with the parties, attorneys,			
16	or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect			
17	impartiality, or that requires me to provide any service not made available to all parties to the			
18	action.			
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto			
20	subscribed my name this 28th day of July, 2022.			
21	Do to the the second se			
22	Paula M. Huettonrauch PMP CPP			
23	Paula M. Huettenrauch, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Wisconsin			
24	My Commission Expires 8/18/2023			
25				

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ANDREW L. COLBORN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NETFLIX, INC., CHROME MEDIA, LLC, f/k/a SYNTHESIS FILMS, LLC, LAURA RICCIARDI, and MOIRA DEMOS, Case No. 19-CV-484

Defendants.

### ERRATA SHEET FOR JULY 21-22, 2022, DEPOSITION OF ANDREW L. COLBORN

Location	Current Language	Corrected Language	Reason
Volume 1 Page 201, Line 4	"Certainly there was exploration and thoughtful debate."	"Certainly if there was exploration and thoughtful debate."	Substantive / mis- statement
Volume 2 Page 392, Line 16	"I don't believe to this day they would follow up on it."	"I don't believe to this day they would follow up on it with me."	Substantive / mis- statement
Volume 2 Page 447, Lines 6-7	" and I told him"	" and I was told that"	Substantive / mis- statement
Volume 2 Page 447, Line 8	"You are already there."	"You are already here."	Transcription error
Volume 2 Page 479, Line 4	"We can conducted"	"we conducted"	Transcription error
Volume 2 Page 497, Line 22	"I frequently make contact"	"I frequently make eye contact"	Substantive / mis- statement

Dated: August 31th, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew L. Colborn